# MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Type of Requestor: (X) HCP () IE () IC	<b>Response Timely Filed?</b> (X) Yes () No			
Requestor	MDR Tracking No.: M4-05-5920-01			
HCA Healthcare	TWCC No.:			
6000 NW Parkway, Ste. 124	Injured Employee's Name:			
San Antonio, TX 78249	injured Zimprojee of Name.			
Respondent	Date of Injury:			
Transcontinental Insurance Co.	Employer's Name: Universal Form Tops Inc.			
Rep. Box # 47	-			
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 35517963			

### PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	of Service	CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	of I couc(s) of Description		
10-12-04	10-18-04	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$29,815.24	\$19,012.96

## PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Per TWCC guideline total charges exceed \$40K, therefore stoploss applies. Implants are not considered auditable charges.

### PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position statement was not included.

## PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 6 days based upon 4 days surgical and 2 days in ICU. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the stop-loss methodology.

The requestor billed \$63,750.48 for the hospitalization. In determining the total audited charges, the requestor billed \$25,770.00 for the implantables. In determining the total audited charges, it must be noted that the insurance carrier has indicated some question regarding the charges for the implantables. The requestor billed \$25,770.00 for the implantables. The actual cost for the implants per invoices was \$8425.00.

Based on a review of numerous medical disputes and our experience, the average markup for implantables in many hospitals is 200%. Since the requestor did not present any documentation supporting their charge, we will apply this average mark-up to the cost amount derived from the invoices in order to determine the amount to use in the total audited = \$16,850.00.

The audited charges for this admission, excluding implantables, equals \$37,980.48. This amount plus the above calculated audited charges for the implantables equals \$54,830.48, the total audited charges. This amount multiplied by the stop-loss reimbursement factor (75%) results in a workers' compensation reimbursement amount equal to \$41,122.86 less PPO discount = \$37,010.58.

The insurance carrier audited the bill and paid \$17,997.62 for the inpatient hospitalization. The difference between amount paid and amount due = \$19,012.96.

Based on the facts of this situation, the parties' positions, and the application of the provisions of Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health care provider is entitled to a reimbursement amount for these services equal to \$19,012.96.						
care provider is entitled to a remioursemen	in amount for these services equal to \$17,012.70					
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND	D ORDER					
		ivision has determined that the requestor is				
	the amount of \$19,012.96. The Division has					
Order.	rest due at the time of payment to the Requ	estor within 20-days of receipt of this				
Ordered by:						
	Allen McDonald, Director	June 15, 2005				
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order				
Findings and Decision by:						
	Elizabeth Pickle, RHIA	June 15, 2005				
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order				
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST	A HEARING					
		_				
Either party to this medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the Decision and has a right to request a hearing. A request for a hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). This Decision was mailed to the health care provider and placed in the Austin Representatives box on This Decision is deemed received by you five days after it was mailed and the first working day after the date the Decision was placed in the Austin Representative's box (28 Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk,						
		Decision should be attached to the request.				
The party appealing the Division's Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request for a hearing to the opposing party involved in the dispute.						
Si prefiere hablar con una persona in español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.						
PART VIII: INSURANCE CARRIER DEL	IVERY CERTIFICATION					
I hereby verify that I received a copy of this Decision in the Austin Representative's box.						
Signature of Insurance Carrier:		Date:				